

Recap: A function of parameters $\underline{\lambda}^T \underline{\beta}$ is linearly estimable if $\exists t(\underline{y}) = \epsilon + \underline{a}^T \underline{y}$

s.t. $E[t(\underline{y})] = \underline{\lambda}^T \underline{\beta} \quad \forall \underline{\beta}$

$\Leftrightarrow \underline{\lambda} \in C(\underline{x}^T)$

Ex:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ y_{13} \\ y_{21} \\ y_{22} \\ y_{31} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{13} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \epsilon_{31} \end{pmatrix}$$

whether 1. α_1 2. $\alpha_1 - \alpha_3$ are linearly estimable.

$\alpha_1 = (0, 1, 0, 0) \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix}$ we checked if $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \notin C(\underline{x}^T)$

$\alpha_1 - \alpha_3 = (0, 1, 0, -1) \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix}$ is s.t. $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \in C(\underline{x}^T)$

$E[y_{11}] = \mu + \alpha_1$, $E[y_{31}] = \mu + \alpha_3$

$E[y_{11} - y_{31}] = \alpha_1 - \alpha_3$

for linear estimability $\underline{\lambda} \in C(\underline{x}^T)$

What is the connection between $C(\underline{x}^T)$ and $N(\underline{x})$.

We have seen that they are orthogonal complement to each other. $\Rightarrow \underline{\lambda} \perp N(\underline{x})$.

$$\underline{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We know $\underline{v} \in \mathcal{N}(\underline{X})$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{X} \underline{v} = \underline{0}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$v_1 + v_2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad v_2 = v_3 = v_4 = -v_1$$

$$v_1 + v_3 = 0$$

$$v_1 + v_4 = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \end{pmatrix} = v_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\underline{X}) = \left\{ v_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} : v_1 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$\underline{\lambda}^T \underline{\beta}$ is linearly estimable $\Leftrightarrow \underline{\lambda} \perp \mathcal{N}(\underline{X})$.

$$\alpha_1 = (0, 1, 0, 0) \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

~~is~~ is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \notin \mathcal{N}(\underline{X})$? $\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, v_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle = -v_1$

$\Rightarrow \alpha_1$ is not linearly estimable

$$\alpha_1 - \alpha_3 = (0, 1, 0, -1) \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ is } \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \perp \mathcal{N}(\underline{X})$$

$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 - \alpha_3$ is linearly estimable.

②

Ⓜ If you are told to check if $\underline{\lambda}^T \underline{\beta}$ is linearly estimable:

Method 1: Check if $\underline{\lambda} \in C(\underline{X}^T)$.

Method 2: If you can immediately find some linear combination $\underline{a}^T \underline{y}$ of \underline{y} s.t.

$$E[\underline{a}^T \underline{y}] = \underline{\lambda}^T \underline{\beta}. \quad (\text{e.g. } E[y_{11} - y_{21}] = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2)$$

Method 3: check if $\underline{\lambda} \perp N(\underline{X})$

In fact in method 1, you might work with basis elements of $C(\underline{X}^T)$

In method 3, you can also find the basis of $N(\underline{X})$. If $\{ \underline{h}_1, \underline{h}_2, \dots, \underline{h}_k \}$ is the set of basis vectors for the $N(\underline{X})$, all you have to do is to check if $\underline{\lambda} \perp \underline{h}_1, \dots, \underline{\lambda} \perp \underline{h}_k$.

any vector $\underline{h} \in N(\underline{X})$ can be written as

$$\underline{h} = c_1 \underline{h}_1 + c_2 \underline{h}_2 + \dots + c_k \underline{h}_k$$

$$\langle \underline{\lambda}, \underline{h} \rangle = \langle \underline{\lambda}, c_1 \underline{h}_1 + \dots + c_k \underline{h}_k \rangle = \sum_{s=1}^k c_s \underbrace{\langle \underline{\lambda}, \underline{h}_s \rangle}_{=0}$$

$$\text{if } \underline{\lambda} \perp \underline{h}_s \Rightarrow \underline{\lambda} \perp \underline{h}.$$

Qn: How to construct a linear unbiased estimator of a linearly estimable fn. $\underline{\lambda}^T \underline{\beta}$?

Recall that $\underline{\hat{x}} \hat{\underline{\beta}} = \underline{P} \underline{y}$, [where \underline{P} is the projection matrix]

and $\hat{\underline{\beta}}$ is a solution to the NEs $\underline{X}^T \underline{X} \underline{\beta} = \underline{X}^T \underline{y}$.

claim: If $\underline{\lambda}^T \underline{\beta}$ is linearly estimable, then $\underline{\lambda}^T \hat{\underline{\beta}}$ is the same for all solutions $\hat{\underline{\beta}}$ to the NEs and $E[\underline{\lambda}^T \hat{\underline{\beta}}] = \underline{\lambda}^T \underline{\beta}$.

pf: Note that $\underline{\lambda}^T \underline{\beta}$ is estimable $\Rightarrow \underline{\lambda} \in C(\underline{X}^T)$
 $\Rightarrow \exists \underline{a}$ s.t. $\underline{\lambda} = \underline{X}^T \underline{a}$.

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\lambda}^T \hat{\underline{\beta}} = (\underline{X}^T \underline{a})^T \hat{\underline{\beta}} = \underline{a}^T \underline{X} \hat{\underline{\beta}} = \underline{a}^T \underline{P} \underline{y} \quad \left[\text{for all solutions } \hat{\underline{\beta}} \right]$$

thus $\underline{\lambda}^T \hat{\underline{\beta}}$ is fixed even you use different solutions $\hat{\underline{\beta}}$ to the NEs.

$$E[\underline{\lambda}^T \hat{\underline{\beta}}] = E[\underline{a}^T \underline{P} \underline{y}] = \underline{a}^T \underline{P} E[\underline{y}] = \underline{a}^T \underline{P} \underline{X} \underline{\beta} \\ = \underline{a}^T \underline{X} \underline{\beta} = \underline{\lambda}^T \underline{\beta}.$$

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \underline{y} &= \underline{X} \underline{\beta} + \underline{\epsilon} \\ E[\underline{y}] &= \underline{X} \underline{\beta} + E[\underline{\epsilon}] \\ &= \underline{X} \underline{\beta} \end{aligned}}$$

• ~~If you get estim~~

$$\underline{y} = \underline{X} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} + \underline{\epsilon}$$

you found estimates of $\begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ given by $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_0 \\ \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \end{pmatrix}$

What is the estimate of $\beta_1 - \beta_2$?

You will write $\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2$.

Now think of the NEs again,

$\underline{X}^T \underline{X} \underline{\beta} = \underline{X}^T \underline{y}$. When $\kappa(\underline{X}) < p$ or $\dim(C(\underline{X})) < p$ then the NEs have multiple solutions.

If we want to ~~create~~ create a unique solution from the NEs ~~that~~ we have to add some constraints on the parameter space.

Qn: ① Which constraints to add?
② How many constraints to add?

EX: Consider one way Anova.

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}, \quad i=1,2,3, \quad j=1,2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ y_{21} \\ y_{22} \\ y_{31} \\ y_{32} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \epsilon_{31} \\ \epsilon_{32} \end{bmatrix}$$

$\text{rank}(\underline{X}) = 3$ $\underline{X}_{6 \times 4}$ matrix, clearly $\text{rank}(\underline{X}) < 4$

$\underline{X}^T \underline{X} \underline{\beta} = \underline{X}^T \underline{y}$ has multiple solutions

$$\underline{X^T X} \underline{\beta} = \underline{X^T y}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 y_{ij} \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 y_{1i} \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 y_{2j} \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 y_{3j} \end{bmatrix}$$

\rightarrow Sum of all y 's
 \rightarrow Sum of y 's in the 1st gr.
 \rightarrow Sum of y 's in the 2nd gr.
 \rightarrow Sum of y 's in the 3rd gr.

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 y_{ij} = y_{..}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^2 y_{1j} = y_{1.}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^2 y_{2j} = y_{2.},$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 y_{3j} = y_{3.}$$

$$6\mu + 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 = y_{..}$$

$$2\mu + 2\alpha_1 = y_{1.}$$

$$2\mu + \quad + 2\alpha_2 = y_{2.}$$

$$2\mu + \quad + 2\alpha_3 = y_{3.}$$

3 independent equations and 4 parameters

\Rightarrow the NEs do not have a unique soln.

lets put the constraint

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0$$

$$2\mu + 2\alpha_1 = y_{11}$$

$$2\mu + 2\alpha_2 = y_{21}$$

$$2\mu + 2\alpha_3 = y_{31}$$

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu = \frac{y_{..}}{6}, \quad \alpha_1 = \frac{y_{11}}{2} - \frac{y_{..}}{6}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{y_{21}}{2} - \frac{y_{..}}{6},$$

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{y_{31}}{2} - \frac{y_{..}}{6}$$

Imposing restrictions

~~We use~~ To get unique solutions of the parameters, we use a set of constraints $\underline{C}\underline{\beta} = \underline{0}$, where \underline{C} is a $s \times p$ matrix with $s = p - k$ (where $\text{rank}(\underline{X}) = k$) and $\underline{C} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}^T \\ \underline{C}^T \end{bmatrix} = \underline{0}^T$.

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{X}^T \underline{X} \underline{\beta} &= \underline{X}^T \underline{y} \\ \underline{C} \underline{\beta} &= \underline{0} \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \underline{X}^T \underline{X} \\ \underline{C} \end{pmatrix} \underline{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{X}^T \underline{y} \\ \underline{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

We ~~want~~ have added this extra equations so as to make ~~$\underline{X}^T \underline{X}$~~ $\begin{pmatrix} \underline{X}^T \underline{X} \\ \underline{C} \end{pmatrix}$ full column rank.

Qn: How to choose \underline{C} ?

Now $\underline{C}(\underline{x})$ in this case is not ~~solvable~~ solvable in RT although \underline{x} is a $n \times p$ matrix.

Note that in this case \underline{x} does not have the full column rank (that is why N.E.s are not ~~solvable~~ solvable uniquely).

and ~~so~~ so not all linear combinations $\underline{x}^T \underline{\beta}$ is estimable linearly.

there exists many $\underline{\lambda} \notin C(\underline{x}^T)$.

\underline{C}^T cannot be ~~be~~ orthogonal to the $N(\underline{x})$.

\Leftrightarrow the components of $\underline{C} \underline{\beta}$ cannot be estimable linearly.

In other words, identify $N(\underline{x})$ and

find basis vectors from $N(\underline{x})$ or

identify vectors which do not become

orthogonal to $N(\underline{x})$. Those vectors

will ~~can~~ help us constructing $\underline{C} \underline{\beta}$.

⊙ Think of the one way anova example.

$$\underline{X}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

basis for $C(\underline{X}^T) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

~~⊙~~ $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0$

$$\Rightarrow (0, 1, 1, \textcircled{0}, 1) \begin{pmatrix} M \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in C(\underline{X}^T)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 1, \lambda_3 = 1, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$$

they are not consistent, hence $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \notin C(\underline{X}^T)$.

~~$\underline{v} \in N(\underline{X})$~~ $\Rightarrow \underline{X} \underline{v} = \underline{0}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} v_1 + v_2 &= 0 \\ v_1 + v_3 &= 0 \\ v_1 + v_4 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$v_2 = v_3 = v_4 = -v_1$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\underline{x}) = \left\{ v_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} : v_1 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \notin \mathcal{N}(\underline{x})$$

the constraint $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0$ is the right constraint.

$$\text{What is } \dim(\mathcal{N}(\underline{x})) = 1$$

thus only one constraint is enough.

~~you~~ ① How many constraints are required?
 $\dim(\mathcal{N}(\underline{x}))$.

② Which constraints can we use?

$$\text{If } \dim(\mathcal{N}(\underline{x})) = k,$$

we will use constraints

$$\underline{\lambda}_1^T \underline{\beta} = \underline{0}, \dots, \underline{\lambda}_k^T \underline{\beta} = \underline{0}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \underline{\lambda}_1, \dots, \underline{\lambda}_k \notin \mathcal{N}(\underline{x})$$

and $\underline{\lambda}_1, \dots, \underline{\lambda}_k$ are linearly independent.

Recap:

In the last class we have seen how to add constraints in the NEs.

$\underline{X}^T \underline{X} \underline{\beta} = \underline{X}^T \underline{y}$ are the NEs and when $\dim(C(\underline{X}^T)) < p$ then we can add some constraints $\underline{C} \underline{\beta} = \underline{0}$ so that

$\begin{pmatrix} \underline{X}^T \underline{X} \\ \underline{C} \end{pmatrix}$ has the full column rank.

① $\dim(N(\underline{X})) = k$ then find a few vectors $\underline{c}_1, \dots, \underline{c}_k$ s.t. they are not orthogonal to the $N(\underline{X}) \Leftrightarrow$ they are not in the column space of \underline{X}^T and they are linearly independent.

Ex! Two way Anova.

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}, \quad i=1,2; \quad j=1,2,3.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ y_{13} \\ y_{21} \\ y_{22} \\ y_{23} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\underline{X}} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{13} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \epsilon_{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{X^T X} \underline{\beta} = \underline{X^T y}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ y_{13} \\ y_{21} \\ y_{22} \\ y_{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 y_{ij} = y_{..}, \quad y_{i.} = \sum_{j=1}^3 y_{ij}, \quad y_{.j} = \sum_{i=1}^2 y_{ij}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{..} \\ y_{1.} \\ y_{2.} \\ y_{.1} \\ y_{.2} \\ y_{.3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$6\mu + 3\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 + 2\beta_3 = y_{..}$$

$$3\mu + 3\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = y_{1.}$$

$$3\mu + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = y_{2.}$$

$$2\mu + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\beta_1 = y_{.1}$$

$$2\mu + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\beta_2 = y_{.2}$$

$$2\mu + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\beta_3 = y_{.3}$$

there are 4 equations. To estimate them

uniquely we need 2 more equations.

Two ~~more~~ constraints needed to be added.

Try to find $\mathcal{N}(\underline{x})$.

$$\underline{x} \in \mathcal{N}(\underline{x}) \Rightarrow \underline{x} \underline{x} = \underline{0}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \delta_3 \\ \delta_4 \\ \delta_5 \\ \delta_6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_4 = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_5 = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_6 = 0 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$$\delta_1 + \delta_3 + \delta_4 = 0 \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

$$\delta_1 + \delta_3 + \delta_5 = 0 \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

$$\delta_1 + \delta_3 + \delta_6 = 0 \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

$$\delta_4 = \delta_5 = \delta_6 \quad \text{[from (1), (2), (3)]}$$

using (4) & (5) (1)

$$\delta_2 = \delta_3$$

~~(2) & (5)~~ gives

$$\delta_1 = -\delta_2 - \delta_4$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \delta_3 \\ \delta_4 \\ \delta_5 \\ \delta_6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta_2 - \delta_4 \\ \delta_2 \\ \delta_2 \\ \delta_4 \\ \delta_4 \\ \delta_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\underline{x}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\dim(\mathcal{N}(\underline{x})) = 2$$

\Rightarrow we need two constraints.

$$\underline{c}_1^T \underline{\beta} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{c}_2^T \underline{\beta} = 0$$

are the two constraints then $\underline{c}_1, \underline{c}_2 \notin \mathcal{N}(\underline{x})$

$$\underline{c}_1^T = (0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$\underline{c}_1 \neq \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{c}_1 \neq \mathcal{N}(\underline{x})$$

$$\underline{c}_2^T = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1)$$

$$\underline{c}_2 \neq \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{c}_2 \neq \mathcal{N}(\underline{x})$$

• hence, $c_1^T \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$

$$c_2^T \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \Rightarrow \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = 0.$$

$$\underline{c} \underline{\beta} = \underline{0} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Till now we have used any distributional assumption on the error of the linear model.
 $E[\epsilon_{ij}] = 0$ • Now we will make distributional assumptions on the error.

Df: Consider an $n \times n$ matrix \underline{A} , the
 $\text{trace}(\underline{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} = \text{sum of the diagonal elements}$

Result: (i) $\text{tr}(\underline{A}\underline{B}) = \text{tr}(\underline{B}\underline{A})$

(ii) $\text{tr}(\underline{A}^T \underline{A}) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}^2 = \text{sum of square of all elements of } \underline{A}$.

Df: The determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix \underline{A} is a scalar given by

$$|\underline{A}| = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} (-1)^{i+j} |M_{ij}|$$

M_{ij} is the determinant of the (i,j) th minor of the matrix.

$$\underline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1j} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{i1} & \dots & a_{ij} & \dots & a_{in} \\ \vdots & & & & \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nj} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1,j-1} & a_{1,j+1} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ a_{i-1,1} & \dots & a_{i-1,j-1} & a_{i-1,j+1} & \dots & a_{i-1,n} \\ a_{i+1,1} & \dots & a_{i+1,j-1} & a_{i+1,j+1} & \dots & a_{i+1,n} \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{n,j-1} & a_{n,j+1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}_{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$$

$$\underline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$|\underline{A}| = a_{11} (-1)^{1+1} a_{22} + a_{12} (-1)^{1+2} a_{21} + a_{21} (-1)^{2+1} a_{12} + a_{22} (-1)^{2+2} a_{11}$$

$$|\underline{A}| = a_{11} a_{22} - a_{12} a_{21}$$

~~$$\underline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$~~

$$|\underline{A}| = a_{11} \begin{vmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} + a_{12} (-1)^{1+2} \begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$+ a_{13} (-1)^{1+3} \begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= a_{11} (a_{22} a_{33} - a_{23} a_{32}) - a_{12} (a_{21} a_{33} - a_{31} a_{23}) + a_{13} (a_{21} a_{32} - a_{22} a_{31})$$

\underline{D} is a diagonal matrix $\underline{D} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & \\ & \dots & \\ & & d_n \end{pmatrix}$

$$|\underline{D}| = \prod_{i=1}^n d_i$$

Results: $|\underline{A} \underline{B}| = |\underline{B} \underline{A}|$, $|\underline{A}^{-1}| = \frac{1}{|\underline{A}|}$

and $|c \underline{A}| = c^n |\underline{A}|$

The following are equivalent:

(1) $\underline{A}\underline{x} = \underline{0}$ implies $\underline{x} = \underline{0}$ (i.e. $\text{rank}(\underline{A}) = n$)

(2) $|\underline{A}| \neq 0$ (3) \underline{A} is invertible.

Def: Let \underline{A} be an $n \times n$ matrix and let \underline{A} be symmetric. Consider an equation

$$\underline{A}\underline{q} = \lambda\underline{q}.$$

~~Here~~ Here λ is called an eigenvalue of \underline{A} and \underline{q} is called the associated eigenvector. There are n eigenvalues for an $n \times n$ matrix, they can repeat.

For an $n \times n$ matrix

(1) there are n eigenvalues (though they can repeat)

(2) eigenvectors associated with eigenvalues are orthogonal to each other.

(3) eigenvectors can be normalized i.e. \underline{q} can be chosen s.t. $\underline{q}^T \underline{q} = 1$.

eigenvalues of \underline{A} are solutions to the equations

$$|\underline{A} - \lambda \underline{I}| = 0$$

$$\underline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 8 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} 5-\lambda & 8 \\ 2 & 3-\lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|A - \lambda I| = \begin{vmatrix} 5-\lambda & 8 \\ 2 & 3-\lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ = (5-\lambda)(3-\lambda) - 16$$

two ~~of~~ eigenvalues can be found by solving

$$(5-\lambda)(3-\lambda) - 16 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{8 \pm \sqrt{64+4}}{2}$$

$$\underline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 9 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|A - \lambda I| = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{vmatrix} 10-\lambda & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 9-\lambda & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 10-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 15, \lambda_2 = 8, \lambda_3 = 6$$

eigenvectors are obtained by writing

$$\underline{A} \underline{q} = 15 \underline{q} \quad [\underline{q} \text{ here will give eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue } 15]$$

If \underline{q}_1 is a soln.

$$\underline{A} \underline{q}_1 = 15 \underline{q}_1 \Rightarrow \underline{A} (c \underline{q}_1) = 15 (c \underline{q}_1)$$

then $c \underline{q}_1$ is also a soln. for any c

(8)

Rather you get a space of solutions and you can use any of the solutions as an eigenvector corresponding to that eigenvalue

$$\underline{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{v}_1^T \underline{v}_1 = 1$$

$$\underline{v}_2^T \underline{v}_2 = 1$$

$$\underline{v}_3^T \underline{v}_3 = 1$$

What can be seen is if the eigenvectors are normalized, then

$$\underline{A} = \underline{Q} \underline{\Lambda} \underline{Q}^T \quad \text{where } \underline{Q} = [\underline{v}_1 : \underline{v}_2 : \underline{v}_3]$$

$$\underline{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

This is called the spectral decomposition of a ~~matrix~~ symmetric matrix.

If \underline{A} is an $n \times n$ matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and the corresponding eigenvectors $\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_n$ then

$$\underline{A} = \underline{Q} \underline{\Lambda} \underline{Q}^T \quad \text{where } \underline{Q} = [\underline{v}_1 : \dots : \underline{v}_n]$$

$$\underline{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{A} = \underline{Q} \underline{\Lambda} \underline{Q}^T = [\underline{q}_1 : \dots : \underline{q}_n] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{q}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \underline{q}_n^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \underline{q}_i \underline{q}_i^T$$

$$[\underline{q}_1 : \dots : \underline{q}_n] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} = [\underline{q}_1 \lambda_1 : \dots : \underline{q}_n \lambda_n]$$

$$(1) |\underline{A}| = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \quad (2) \text{tr}(\underline{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$$

(2) rank(\underline{A}) = the number of nonzero eigenvalues.

⊗ Since each $\underline{q}_j^T \underline{q}_j = 1$ and $\underline{q}_j^T \underline{q}_{j'} = 0$ for $j \neq j'$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{Q} \underline{Q}^T = \underline{I}_n = \underline{Q}^T \underline{Q}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{Q}^T = \underline{Q}^{-1}$$

when all eigenvalues are nonzero

$$\underline{A}^{-1} = \underline{Q} \underline{\Lambda}^{-1} \underline{Q}^T \text{ as } \otimes (\underline{Q} \underline{\Lambda}^{-1} \underline{Q}^T) (\underline{Q} \underline{\Lambda} \underline{Q}^T)$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{A}^{-1} = \underline{Q} \underline{\Lambda}^{-1} \underline{Q}^T = \underline{Q} \underline{Q}^T = \underline{I}_n$$

Thm: If \underline{A} is a symmetric matrix then \exists an orthonormal basis of $C(\underline{A})$ consisting of eigenvectors corresponding to the ~~nonzero~~ nonzero eigenvalues.

eigenvectors corresponding zero eigenvalues
are the basis for $\mathcal{N}(\underline{A}^T) = \mathcal{N}(\underline{A})$

When \underline{A}^{-1} doesn't exist \Rightarrow some eigenvalues
are zero. In that case

$$\underline{A} = \underline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\Lambda}_k & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & \underline{0} \end{bmatrix} \underline{Q}^T \quad \text{where } \underline{\Lambda}_k \text{ consisting} \\ \text{of the } k \text{ non-zero} \\ \text{eigenvalues in the diagonal}$$

$$\underline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{Q}_1 & \underline{Q}_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\Lambda}_k & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & \underline{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{Q}_1^T \\ \underline{Q}_2^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$n \times k$ $k \times (n-k)$

then a generalized inverse is given by $\underline{A}^- = \underline{Q}_1 \underline{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \underline{Q}_1^T$